

Planning and Development
Monthly Report
February 13th, 2012 Meeting
 Prepared By: Sharron Martyn, Planner

File/Project	Comments/Updates
Halow Proposed Plan of Subdivision	On going
Official Plan	Report from CAO
Wilco (Former Bowater Property) Official Plan Amendment and Zoning Amendment	Don Manahan has advised Planner that the residential lots on hold until the Official Plan is approved by MMAH but the Commercial Plan of Subdivision for the balance of the land will be forthcoming in March or April.
Wing request for consents off Vibert Rd.	Owner on vacation until April
Racetrack Project	Property has been transferred to new owner and work will commence in the spring
Rossdale Apartments– now owned by Paul & Erin Voortman – request for new 5-plex	Have not received application for rezoning from owners as yet and have had no communication with them for months.
Hacquoil matter	No further contact with Planning Department so consider closed file for the time being.
Old Business	Request from property owner to remove Use Limitation from his property and rezone to Commercial off Hwy. 130 (old Hwy. 11-17) – application has been forwarded for processing – March 12 th will be public meeting.
Committee of Adjustment	<p>Application - 1B/01/12 – Dysvick, Fraser Road – meets requirements for the rural zone and the number of consents prior to 1972.</p> <p>Received a call from a member of Thunder Bay Federation of Agriculture requesting that a condition be added to the decision “noting that owner should be aware that there is a working farm and smells, etc. are part of the farm operation.” I asked him to have the President of the Federation send a letter to Council as the Committee takes direction from Council.</p>

<p>Rubin Phase II</p>	<p>Next steps:</p> <p>The new road has been surveyed and deposited at the Registry Office.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sample of lots for Council's consideration is attached (under separate file) for the entire lot. 2. The Planner has been directed to sever the two lots off Oliver Road and a surveyor was hired to draft a couple of options for the municipality (as noted on copy) 3. The consent process for the two lots can commence following meeting with Council on the 13th of Feb. Entrances will be off Oliver Road and utilities. 4. The surveyor was unaware of two separate names for the new road and therefore a new part number is required for the Dehoop portion of the road and also the old Rubin turn-a-round and that should be completed in two weeks as the registry office requires two weeks to register the plan. 5. The new part numbers are required so they can be described in the By-law opening the roads. 6. Need to discuss the berm. 7. Easements required for utilities on the rest of the lots. 8. Zoning is in place for the entire lot but Council was also considering a Site Plan Control for these lots. 9. Lots need to be appraised. 10. Responsibility for entrances 11. Corridor for utilities will be done as an easement on the purchasers property. 12. Meeting with Ontario Hydro and Union Gas on Thursday to discuss placement of utilities in the corridor
-----------------------	--

Committee of Adjustment

① of 6

Application Number:

- Application Type:
- Zoning By-Law Amendment (\$1000)
- Temporary Use By-Law(\$1000)
- Removal of the Holding Zone ("H" Symbol)
- Official Plan Amendment (\$1000)

ATTENTION : SHARON MARTIN

Owner/Applicant Information

Full Name: HARRISON, RAY, MIKE, CATHY AND MICHELLE
Last First

Address: 5457 McCulloch Rd.
Street Address

KELOWNA, B.C. V1W 4G1
City Province Postal Code

Home Phone: (250) 307-4516 Alternate Phone: ()

Please specify to whom all communications should be sent:

- Owner
- Solicitor
- Agent

Agent Information (if applicable)

Name: HARRISON RAY
Last First

Address: 5457 McCulloch Rd.
Street Address

KELOWNA B.C. V1W 4G1
City Province Postal Code

Phone: (250) 307 4516 Fax: ()

Property Information

Legal Description: LOT 9, CON 3 NKR

Address: TWP. OF PALPOONGE, THUNDER BAY ONT.

Current Zoning: use limitation Official Plan Designation: N/A

Existing Use of the Property: Rural DORMANT

How long has this use Continued? 6 years

2066

Committee of Adjustment

Application for Consent

In What year Did the owner acquire the property:

List all types of existing buildings/structures, the dates constructed and the setbacks, height and dimensions of each building or structure:

NO EXISTING BUILDINGS OR STRUCTURES

Land Information

5. Location of land:

Lot(s) No: 9 (NINE) Concession No: 3 (THREE)

Lot(s) No: Registered Plan No:

Part(s) No: Municipality/Township/Unorganized: OLIVER, PAIPOONGE

6. Current Official Plan designation: N/A

7. Description of land intended to be severed: N/A

Frontage: metres Depth: metres Area: hectares

Existing Use: Proposed Use:

Number and use of buildings and structures (both existing and proposed) on the land to be severed:

N/A

8. Description of land intended to be retained:

Frontage: 400 metres Depth: 975 metres Area: hectares

Existing use: use limit / Rural Proposed use: Commercial

Number and use of buildings and structures (both existing and proposed) on the land to be retained:

N/A

ATTENTION!
SHARON
MARTIN

4 of 6

15. Type of soil:

- Clay
- Gravel
- Sand
- Rock

Other: PEAT MOSS

16. Has the Owner previously severed any land from this holding?

- Yes
- No

If yes, please indicate previous severances on the required sketch and supply the following information for each lot severed:

a) Grantee's name: _____

Relationship (if any) to Owner: _____

Use of parcel: _____

Date parcel created: _____

b) Grantee's name: _____

Relationship (if any) to Owner: _____

Use of parcel: _____

Date parcel created: _____

c) Grantee's name: _____

Relationship (if any) to Owner: _____

Use of parcel: _____

Date parcel created: _____

17. Has the parcel intended to be severed ever been, or is it now, the subject of an application for a plan of subdivision, under Section 50 of the *Planning Act*, 1990 as amended, or its predecessors?

- Yes
- No

If yes, provide File Number: _____

#5066

Committee of Adjustment

18. Is the Owner, solicitor or agent applying for addition consents in this holding simultaneously with this application, or considering applying for additional consents in the future?

Yes No

19. Is the Owner, solicitor or agent applying for any minor variance, or permission to extend or enlarge under Section 45 of the *Planning Act*, 1990, in relation to any land that is the subject of this application?

21. A sketch is required at the time of the filing of this application.

Yes No
Minimum requirements will be a sketch showing the following (see sample attached):

• The boundaries and dimensions of the subject land and any abutting land that is owned by the Owner of the subject land.

20. If the subject land is the subject of any other application under the *Planning Act*, as amended?
• The location, size and type of all existing and proposed buildings and structures on the subject land, indicating the distance of the buildings or structures from the front yard lot line, rear yard lot line and the side yard lot line (metric measurements only).

Yes No

• The approximate location of all natural and artificial features on the subject land and on land that is adjacent to the subject land that, in the opinion of the applicant, may affect the application. Examples include buildings, railways, roads, watercourses, drainage ditches, rivers or stream banks, wetlands, wooded areas, wells and septic tanks.

- The current uses on the land that is adjacent to the subject land.
- The location, width and name of any roads within or abutting the subject land, indicating whether it is an unopened road allowance, a public travelled road, a private road or a right-of-way.
- If access to the subject land is by water only, the location of the parking and docking facilities to be used.
- The location and nature of any easement affecting the subject land.
- The location of all land previously severed from the parcel originally acquired by the current owner.
- Whether the subject land within an area of land designated under any Provincial plan.


ATTENTION, SHARON KUTV 110





#6066

Committee of Adjustment

Application for Consent

Sketch



-  LRCA Approximate Regulated Area (Pennock Creek Flood and Fill Regulated Area)
-  Zoned Use Limitation
-  Property Boundary
-  Proposed to be removed from Use Limitation Zone

New Drainage Ditch

Proposed
MAIN
entrance
EXACT CENTER OF PROPERTY
200 m → ← 200 m

Lot 9, Concession 3, Municipality of Oliver Palpoonge
Owner- Ray Harrison

2006.10.06
L.R.C.A
Not to Scale

CONTINUED ON REVERSE SIDE

Application for Consent

CERTIFICATION by the Applicant

I/We

_____ of the _____ in the Province of Ontario,
solemnly declare that the statements contained in this application are true, and I/we make this solemn
declaration conscientiously believing it to be true, and knowing that it is of the same force and effect as if
made under oath and by virtue of the Canada Evidence Act.

Sworn (or declared) before me at the _____ in the Province of Ontario,
this _____ day of _____ 20 _____

Commissioner of Oaths

Applicant/Authorized Agent

AUTHORIZATION OF THE OWNER FOR AN AGENT TO MAKE APPLICATION

I/We authorize

Ray Harrison
(name of agent)

to act on my/our behalf in submitting this application. This application has been submitted with my/our
full knowledge and endorsement.

*Ray Harrison *M. Harrison
OWNER(S) Date

For Office Use Only:
Application No:
Date completed application received:

LAKEHEAD RURAL PLANNING BOARD

P.O. Box 59
4569 OLIVER ROAD
MURILLO, ONTARIO
P0T 2G0

SHARRON MARTYN, PLANNER
TELEPHONE: (807) 935-3300
FAX: (807)935-2161
EMAIL: LRPB@TBAYTEL.NET

February 8, 2012.

Report to: CAO/Clerk and Mayor and Councillors
Subject: Contract for Planning Services for Lakehead Rural Planning Board members

At the regular meeting of the Lakehead Rural Planning Board held February 2, 2011 discussion took place regarding the present agreement with Lakehead Rural Planning Board for planning services to their membership. The Board did not suggest any changes to the planning fees presently in the current agreement.

A resolution was recorded whereby the Lakehead Rural Planning Board enter into an agreement for 2012 for planning services to their membership and for these services (as per 2011 By-law 613-2010). Lakehead Rural Planning Board has budgeted for \$25,000 which will be forwarded to the Municipality once Council agrees to the contract. The Board has also agreed to transfer \$150.00 to the Municipality for allowing the Administrative Assistant to attend LRPB meetings.

As in the past couple of years, the Board will also be applying for a grant for a student this year and since the Board does not have a payroll system, the Municipality has put this student through their payroll system. The Board has budgeted for this expense.

The Board has not increased the membership fee therefore, it will remain at \$250.00 for each organized townships within our jurisdiction. A copy of the 2012 Budget is enclosed for Council's files.

When the By-law and agreement is approved, please forward a copy to the LRPB and our Vice-Chair, Kevin Holland will sign on behalf of the Board.



Sharron Martyn,
Secretary-Treasurer,
Lakehead Rural Planning Board.

LAKEHEAD RURAL PLANNING BOARD				
2011 FINANCIAL STATEMENT				
	2011 Budget	Actual	Difference	2012 Budget
Revenue				
Conmee	250.00	250.00	0.00	250.00
Gillies	250.00	250.00	0.00	250.00
Neebing	250.00	250.00	0.00	250.00
O'Connor	250.00	250.00	0.00	250.00
Oliver Paipoonge	250.00	250.00	0.00	250.00
Provincial Levy	40,917.00	40,917.00	0.00	40,917.00
Miscellaneous revenue		75.00	75.00	
Provincial Levy				
Deferred Income Jan/Mar 2011	10,229.25	10,229.25	0.00	10,229.25
Deferred Income Jan/Mar 2012	-10,229.25	-10,229.25	0.00	(10,229.25)
Total Revenue	42,167.00	42,242.00	75.00	42,167.00
Expenses				
Contract with OP	25,000.00	25,000.00	0.00	25,000.00
Auditor	1,400.00	1,762.80	(362.80)	1,500.00
Mileage - Members	800.00	1,631.76	(831.76)	1,500.00
Honorarium - Members	1,200.00	3,870.00	(2,670.00)	3,000.00
Bank Charges	20.00	23.35	(3.35)	25.00
Recording Secretary	150.00		150.00	150.00
Internal Restrictions	2,597.00	3,922.41	(1,325.41)	350.00
Mapping-Outsourcing	5,000.00		5,000.00	5,000.00
Student Salary	6,000.00	5,110.70	889.30	5,000.00
Consultant fees/Legal		525.00	(525.00)	500.00
General Expense		395.98	(395.98)	142.00
Total Expenses	42,167.00	42,242.00	(75.00)	42,167.00
(Deficit) Surplus	-			

MUNICIPALITY OF OLIVER PAIPOONGE
COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT

4569 Oliver Road, P.O. Box 10
Murillo, ON P0T 2G0

Telephone: (807) 935-2613 ext. 6
Fax: (807) 935-2161

PUBLIC HEARING
CONCERNING PROPOSED CONSENT TO SEVER

RE: Application No.: 1B/01/12
Name of Owner: DYSIEVICK, Dale, Dawn, William, Rose
Location: Con 2, N/R PT Lot 15

TAKE NOTICE that the Municipality of Oliver Paipoonge Committee of Adjustment will hold a Public Hearing on **Thursday, February 9th, 2011** at 7:00 p.m. at the Oliver Paipoonge Municipal Complex to consider the application for a proposed lot addition under Section 53 of the Planning Act, 1990. C.P. 13 as amended to March 30, 2007.

The consent is located on the property known as **Con 2, N/R PT Lot 15, Geographic Township of Paipoonge, now the Municipality of Oliver Paipoonge. A key map showing the location of the lands to which the consent applies is attached.**

If a person or public body that files an appeal of a decision of the Committee of Adjustment in respect of the proposed consent to sever does not make written submissions to the Committee of Adjustment for the Municipality of Oliver Paipoonge before it gives or refuses to give a provisional consent, the Ontario Municipal Board may dismiss the appeal.

THIS NOTICE is sent to the applicant, to various agencies, and to surrounding property owners for their information. If you wish to be notified of the decision of the Committee of Adjustment for the Municipality of Oliver Paipoonge in respect to the proposed consent, you must make a written request to the Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment, P.O. Box 10, Murillo, ON P0T 2G0. Failure of the applicant to appear before the Committee, either in person or by an agent, may result in the deferment or cancellation of the application.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION related to the proposed consent is available for inspection between 9:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. on Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday at the Municipality of Oliver Paipoonge Office located at 4569 Oliver Road, Oliver Paipoonge Municipal Complex.

DATED AT THE MUNICIPALITY OF OLIVER PAIPOONGE THIS, 10th Day of January, 2012.

Please see reverse for location map.




Sharron Martyn, Secretary-Treasurer
Committee of Adjustment


Application Number: 1B/01/12

Applicant: DYSIEVICK, Dale, Dawn, William, Rose


Location: Con 2, N/R PT Lot 15 N of CNR



Location Map



Proposed Development



*Drawings Not to Scale

Application Number:	18/01/12
---------------------	----------

Name of Approval Authority: Committee of Adjustment

Owner Information

Full Name: DYSIEVICK DALE, DAWN, WILLIAM, ROSE
 Last First
 Address: 1106 HARSTONE DR.
 Street Address
THUNDER BAY ONT. POT1W0
 City Province Postal Code
 Home Phone: (807) 473 9107 Alternate Phone: (807) 621-4895

Please specify to whom all communications should be sent:

- Owner Solicitor Agent

Agent Information (if applicable)

Name: DYSIEVICK DALE
 Last First
 Address: 1106 HARSTONE DR.
 Street Address
THUNDER BAY ONT. POT1W0
 City Province Postal Code
 Phone: (807) 473-9107 Fax: ()

Purpose of Application

- Type and purpose of proposed transaction:
 Conveyance (specify): New Lot. Addition to Lot
 Other (specify): Mortgage Lease Easement Right-of-Way Correction of Title
- Name of person(s) to whom land or interest in land is intended to be conveyed, leased or mortgaged:
DALE & DAWN DYSIEVICK
- Relationship (if any) of person(s) named in Question 2. to Owner:
NIA
- Describe any existing easements or restrictive covenants affecting the subject land and its effect:
NIA

Land Information

5. Location of land:

Lot(s) No: PT 15 Concession No: 2 N/R
Lot(s) No: _____ Registered Plan No: _____
Part(s) No: _____ Municipality/Township/
Unorganized CLIVER/PAIPONGE

6. Current Official Plan designation: RURAL RESIDENTIAL

7. Description of land intended to be severed:

Frontage: 253.6 metres Depth 396.24 metres Area: 8.19 hectares

Existing Use: VACANT Proposed Use: ESTATE

Number and use of buildings and structures (both existing and proposed) on the land to be severed:

2 - HOUSE / GARAGE

8. Description of land intended to be retained:

Frontage: 182.2 metres Depth: 396.24 metres Area: 7.21 hectares

Existing use: VACANT Proposed use: VACANT

Number and use of buildings and structures (both existing and proposed) on the land to be retained:

NIL

9. Number of new lots (not including retained lots) proposed:

ONE

10. Type of road access for proposed lot (specify): FRASER (PUBLIC)

Type of road access for retained lot (specify): FRASER (PUBLIC)

Is access by water to proposed lot? NO

Is access by water to retained lot? NO

11. What type of water supply is proposed? (please check appropriate boxes)

	<u>Proposed Lot</u>	<u>Retained Lot</u>
Municipally owned and operated water system	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lake	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Well	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (specify):	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

12. What type of sewage disposal is proposed? (please check appropriate boxes)

	<u>Proposed Lot</u>	<u>Retained Lot</u>
Municipally owned and operated sanitary sewers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Septic tank	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pit privy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (specify):	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

13. When will water supply and sewage disposal services be available?

SUMMER 2012

14. Is any part of the land swampy or subject to flooding, seasonal wetness or erosion?

Yes No

If yes, give details:

15. Type of soil:

Clay Gravel Sand Rock

Other: _____

16. Has the Owner previously severed any land from this holding?

Yes No

If yes, please indicate previous severances on the required sketch and supply the following information for each lot severed:

a) Grantee's name: _____
 Relationship (if any) to Owner: NIA
 Use of parcel: _____
 Date parcel created: _____

b) Grantee's name: _____
 Relationship (if any) to Owner: NIA
 Use of parcel: _____
 Date parcel created: _____

c) Grantee's name: _____
 Relationship (if any) to Owner: NIA
 Use of parcel: _____
 Date parcel created: _____

17. Has the parcel intended to be severed ever been, or is it now, the subject of an application for a plan of subdivision, under Section 50 of the *Planning Act*, 1990 as amended, or its predecessors?

Yes No

If yes, provide File Number: _____

18. Is the Owner, solicitor or agent applying for addition consents in this holding simultaneously with this application, or considering applying for additional consents in the future?

Yes No

19. Is the Owner, solicitor or agent applying for any minor variance, or permission to extend or enlarge under Section 45 of the *Planning Act*, 1990, in relation to any land that is the subject of this application?

Yes No

If yes, provide File Number and status: _____

20. If the subject land the subject of any other application under the *Planning Act*, as amended?

Yes No

If yes, provide File Number and status: _____

21. A sketch is required at the time of the filing of this application.


Minimum requirements will be a sketch showing the following (see sample attached):

- The **boundaries and dimensions** of the subject land and any abutting land that is owned by the Owner of the subject land.
- The **north arrow**.
- The location, size and type of all existing and proposed **buildings and structures** on the subject land, indicating the distance of the buildings or structures from the front yard lot line, rear yard lot line and the side yard lot line (metric measurements only).
- The approximate location of all **natural and artificial features** on the subject land and on land that is adjacent to the subject land that, in the opinion of the applicant, may affect the application. Examples include buildings, railways, roads, watercourses, drainage ditches, rivers or stream banks, wetlands, wooded areas, wells and septic tanks.
- The **current uses** on the land that is adjacent to the subject land.
- The location, width and name of any **roads** within or abutting the subject land, indicating whether it is an unopened road allowance, a public travelled road, a private road or a right-of-way.
- If access to the subject land is by water only, the location of the **parking and docking facilities** to be used.
- The location and nature of any **easement** affecting the subject land.
- The location of all **land previously severed** from the parcel originally acquired by the current owner.
- Whether the subject land within an area of land designated under any **Provincial plan**.

Sketch




182.2m TOTAL: 37.58 acres 253.6m



Purposed division



CN Tracks



Stream

CERTIFICATION by the Applicant

I/We,

Dale Dysewicz

of the Municipality of Oliver Paipouge in the Province of Ontario,
solemnly declare that the statements contained in this application are true and I/we make this solemn
declaration conscientiously believing it to be true, and knowing that it is of the same force and effect as if
made under oath and by virtue of the *Canada Evidence Act*.

Sworn (or declared) before me at the Un. of Oliver Paipouge in the Province of Ontario,
this 4th day of January 2012.

Martyr
Commissioner of Oaths

Dale Dysewicz
Applicant/Authorized Agent

AUTHORIZATION OF THE OWNER FOR AN AGENT TO MAKE APPLICATION

I/We authorize

DALE DYSEWICZ
(name of agent)

to act on my/our behalf in submitting this application. This application has been submitted with my/our
full knowledge and endorsement.

Wm. Danyel Rose Dysewicz

Jan 3 / 2012

Dale Dysewicz ^{Owner(s)} Danyel Dysewicz

Date

<p><i>For Office Use Only</i></p> <p>Application No:</p> <p>Date completed application received:</p>
--



CORPORATE REPORT

DEPARTMENT: Community Services	REPORT NO. 2012.07
DATE PREPARED: February 2, 2012	MEETING DATE: February 13, 2012
	NO. OF PAGES 1 of 2
SUBJECT: Municipal Complex Furnace	

PURPOSE

To provide Council with information on the problems with the furnace at the Municipal Complex from January 30 - February 1, 2012.

BACKGROUND

Staff arrived in the office on Monday, January 30, 2012 and noticed a smell of what was thought to be sewer gas in the main entrance. It was difficult to determine whether the smell was sewer gas or natural gas.

REPORT SUMMARY

Staff immediately contacted the furnace company to come and do an inspection of the heating system. The furnace company did not find any problems and they suggested that Union Gas be contacted to conduct an inspection. On Tuesday, January 31, 2012, Union Gas attended at the office and did an inspection. Union Gas showed staff there was a leak on each of the heating units and that he would be shutting off the gas to the 2 units as well as to the hot water tank. The gas was turned off and the equipment tagged out. Union Gas had a gas monitor which showed the amount of gas in the area as minimal.

On Wednesday, February 1, 2012, Mayor L. Kloosterhuis called the office and advised that Mike Horan, Fire Chief was coming to the office to clear out the odour in the building and that all staff were to leave the building until it was determined it was safe to return to work. Staff returned to work on Thursday, February 2, 2012.

At this time, the floor drains were also flushed which cleared the odour in the main entrance of the building.


The furnace system is old and obsolete and very difficult to get parts. The heating system is the original system installed when the building was constructed. Due to the age of the system it is recommended that two new systems should be installed; one for the upstairs and one for the Municipal Office. It is also recommended that alarms should be installed for explosive gases and carbon monoxide in the Municipal Office.

It is also recommended that Administration should investigate whether there are any grants available to assist in the purchase of a new system and that a maintenance program be developed for the heating system.

RECOMMENDATION

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

PREPARED BY: Kyle Bushby, Community Services Supervisor

REVIEWED BY: 
Jamie Cressman, Chief Administrative Officer, CAO

CAO Report
January 19 – February 8, 2012

1. As directed Royden Potvin from Thunder Bay Ventures was contacted to set up a meeting with Council and the local business community. Mr. Potvin advised he will make himself available on a date to be determined by Council.
2. 2011 and 2012 Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund reports are attached.
3. Update on Rubin Subdivision has been provided by the Planner.
4. Discussion on the proposed truck restrictions on Highway 102.
5. As directed the following are the employees administration feels would benefit from the use of an iPad. CAO, Deputy Clerk, Fire Chief, Public Works Supervisor, Planner and Community Services Supervisor.
6. As discussed at the January 25 Council meeting a report on remuneration and expenses of Council and local boards as per section 284 of the Municipal Act will be presented to Council prior to March 31, 2012.
7. Discussion on next steps with regards to the Whitewater Development and the water and sewage treatment operations.

**Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund (OMPF)
2011 Allocation Notice**



Municipality of Oliver Paipoonge

89618

2011 Highlights for the Municipality of Oliver Paipoonge

- The Municipality of Oliver Paipoonge will continue to benefit from the phased upload of Ontario Works (OW) benefit costs and the completion of the upload of Ontario Disability Support Program (ODSP) benefits. This builds on the province's previous uploads of Ontario Drug Benefits (ODB) and the administration component of ODSP.
 - The estimated total benefit of 2011 provincial uploads for the Municipality is \$594,100.

- The Municipality of Oliver Paipoonge's 2011 combined benefit of OMPF and provincial uploads totals \$2,410,400.
 - This exceeds the 2010 combined benefit by \$162,300 and the 2004 CRF payments by \$708,400.

A. Sum of OMPF Grant Components		\$1,816,300
1. Social Programs Grant		
a. Assessment Threshold Component		\$191,900
b. Income Threshold Component		-
2. Equalization Grant		
a. Assessment Equalization Component		\$275,100
b. Farmland and Managed Forest Component		-
3. Northern and Rural Communities Grant		
a. Rural Communities Component		\$340,600
b. Northern Communities Component		\$513,100
c. Northern and Rural Social Programs Component		\$202,500
d. Stabilization Component		-
4. Police Services Grant		\$293,100
B. Transitional Assistance		-
C. Total 2011 OMPF Funding (Line A + Line B)		\$1,816,300
D. Combined Benefit of 2011 OMPF Plus Social Assistance Benefit Program Uploads		\$2,410,400
1. Total 2011 OMPF Funding (Equal to Line C)		\$1,816,300
2. Ontario Drug Benefit (Uploaded in 2008)		\$93,100
3. Ontario Disability Support Program - Administration Component (Uploaded in 2009)		\$58,800
4. Ontario Disability Support Program - Benefits Component (Phased Upload Completed in 2011)		\$431,000
5. Ontario Works - Benefits Component (Phased Upload Continues in 2011)		\$11,200
E. Other Ongoing Provincial Support		\$179,600
1. 2011 Estimated Public Health Net Benefit		\$179,600
F. Key OMPF Data Inputs		2011 Release
1. Total Municipal Social Program Costs		\$817,595
2. Households		2,183
3. Total Assessment per Household		\$195,003
4. Policing Costs per Household		\$418
5. Rural and Small Community Measure		100.0%
6. Total Household Income		\$178,305,360

Issued: July 2011

**Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund (OMPF)
2011 Allocation Notice**



Municipality of Oliver Paipoonge

89618

2011 OMPF Allocation Notice - Line Item Descriptions

A	Total 2011 OMPF Grant Components.
A1 to A4	The OMPF grants are described in detail in the OMPF technical guide – this document can be found on the Ministry of Finance's website at: http://www.fin.gov.on.ca/en/budget/ompf/2011
B	Transitional assistance guarantees that in 2011, municipalities will receive a minimum of 95 per cent of the support they received through the combined benefit of OMPF and provincial uploads in 2010. Your municipality's 2011 combined benefit of the uploads and the OMPF is already high enough to exceed the guarantee threshold. As a result, your municipality does not require transitional assistance for 2011.
C	Sum of 2011 OMPF grant components.
D1	Equal to line C.
D2	Estimated municipal benefit in 2011 of the upload of the Ontario Drug Benefit Program (incorporating 2010 cost shares). Further information will be provided with the Social Programs Cost Report.
D3	Estimated municipal benefit in 2011 of the upload of Ontario Disability Support Program Administration (incorporating 2010 cost shares). Further information will be provided with the Social Programs Cost Report.
D4	Estimated municipal benefit in 2011 of the upload of Ontario Disability Support Program Benefits (incorporating 2010 cost shares). Further information will be provided with the Social Programs Cost Report.
D5	Estimated municipal benefit in 2011 of the upload of Ontario Works Benefits (incorporating 2010 cost shares). Further information will be provided with the Social Programs Cost Report.
E1	The projected municipal benefit of the Province's 75% share of Public Health funding is relative to its 50% share in 2004. Actual municipal savings may not correspond with the Allocation Notice due to budget approvals made by the local Boards of Health. Municipalities may provide additional funding beyond their obligated cost share. This additional funding is not included in the calculation of the "Estimated Public Health Net Benefit".
F1	Refers to the costs that municipalities are responsible for under existing cost-sharing arrangements with the Province. Includes municipal costs for Ontario Works, Child Care, Social Housing and the reinvestment of National Child Benefit Savings. Costs have been adjusted to reflect the phased provincial upload of Ontario Works benefits.
F3	Refers to the total assessment for a municipality weighted by the tax ratio for each class of property (including payments in lieu of property taxes retained by the municipality) divided by the total number of households.
F4	Refers to the projected 2011 eligible police costs per household.
F5	Represents the proportion of a municipality's population that resides in rural areas or small communities.
F6	Refers to the total household income for all residents of the municipality.

Note: Provincial funding and other ongoing provincial support initiatives rounded to multiples of \$100.

**Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund (OMPF)
2012 Allocation Notice**



Municipality of Oliver Paipoonge

89618

2012 Highlights for the Municipality of Oliver Paipoonge

- The Municipality of Oliver Paipoonge's 2012 combined benefit of the OMPF and provincial uploads totals \$2,622,900 and includes:
 - \$1,896,800 in OMPF, and
 - \$726,100 resulting from the provincial uploads.
- This exceeds the 2011 combined benefit by \$212,600 and payments under the previous program by \$920,900.
- In 2012, the province continues to phase in the upload of Ontario Works (OW) benefit costs and begins to phase in the upload of court security and prisoner transportation costs. This builds on the previous uploads of Ontario Drug Benefits (ODB) and Ontario Disability Support Program (ODSP) costs. The province has also uploaded over \$170 million in OW administration costs.

A. Sum of OMPF Grant Components	\$1,896,800
1. Social Programs Grant	
a. Assessment Threshold Component	\$175,300
b. Income Threshold Component	-
2. Equalization Grant	
a. Assessment Equalization Component	\$344,700
b. Farmland and Managed Forest Assessment Component	-
3. Northern and Rural Communities Grant	
a. Rural Communities Component	\$348,400
b. Northern Communities Component	\$524,800
c. Northern and Rural Social Programs Component	\$183,500
d. Stabilization Component	-
4. Police Services Grant	\$320,100

B. Transitional Assistance	-
-----------------------------------	---

C. Total 2012 OMPF (Line A + Line B)	\$1,896,800
---	--------------------

D. 2012 Combined Benefit of OMPF and Provincial Uploads (Line D1 + Line D2)			\$2,622,900
1. Total OMPF (Equal to Line C)			\$1,896,800
2. Provincial Uploads (Sum of Lines 2a through 2f)			\$726,100
a. Ontario Drug Benefits (Uploaded in 2008)	\$94,500		
b. Ontario Disability Support Program - Administration Component (Uploaded in 2009)	\$58,800		
c. Ontario Disability Support Program - Benefits Component (Phased Upload Completed in 2011)	\$449,700		
d. Ontario Works - Benefits Component (Phased Upload Continues in 2012)	\$25,500		
e. Ontario Works - Administration Component (Uploaded in 2012)	\$96,700		
f. Court Security and Prisoner Transportation (Phased Upload Begins in 2012)	\$900		

E. Key OMPF Data Inputs		
1. Total Municipal Social Program Costs		\$819,538
2. Households	2,233	
3. Total Assessment per Household		\$196,283
4. Farm and Managed Forest Revenue Percentage of Tax Base	2.0%	
5. Policing Costs per Household		\$437
6. Rural and Small Community Measure	100.0%	
7. Total Household Income		\$178,305,360

F. Other 2012 Ongoing Provincial Support / Uploads			\$285,600
1. Public Health			\$192,900
2. Land Ambulance			\$92,700

**Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund (OMPF)
2012 Allocation Notice**



Municipality of Oliver Paipoonge

89618

2012 OMPF Allocation Notice - Line Item Descriptions

A1 to A4	The OMPF grants are described in detail in the OMPF technical guide – this document can be found on the Ministry of Finance's website at: http://www.fin.gov.on.ca/en/budget/ompf/2012
B	Transitional assistance ensures that in 2012, municipalities will receive a minimum of 95 per cent of the support they received through the combined benefit of OMPF and provincial uploads in 2011. The Municipality of Oliver Paipoonge's 2012 combined benefit of OMPF and provincial uploads exceeds this level. As a result, transitional assistance is not required for 2012.
C	Sum of 2012 OMPF grant components.
D1	Equal to line C.
D2a to D2d	Estimated municipal benefit in 2012 of the province's upload of social assistance benefit program costs. For further details see the Social Programs Cost Report.
D2e	Reflects additional incremental funding provided to municipalities in 2012 to support Ontario Works administration costs. Calculated as the difference between 2007 final Ontario Works administration cost and the 2012 planning allocation. For further details see the Social Programs Cost Report.
D2f	Estimated funding provided to the municipality by the Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services with respect to the province's phased upload of court security and prisoner transportation costs in 2012.
E1	Refers to the costs that municipalities are responsible for under existing cost-sharing arrangements with the province. Includes municipal costs for Ontario Works, Child Care, Social Housing and Social Assistance Restructuring Savings (formerly known as National Child Benefit Reinvestment). Costs have been adjusted to reflect the phased upload of Ontario Works benefits.
E3	Refers to the total assessment for a municipality weighted by the tax ratio for each class of property (including payments in lieu of property taxes retained by the municipality) divided by the total number of households.
E4	Represents the proportion of the municipality's tax base comprised of farmland and managed forest properties.
E5	Refers to the projected 2012 eligible policing costs per household.
E6	Represents the proportion of a municipality's population that resides in rural areas or small communities.
E7	Refers to the total household income for all residents of the municipality.
F1	The estimated municipal benefit of the province's 75% share of public health funding relative to its 50% share in 2004. Actual municipal savings may not correspond with the Allocation Notice due to budget approvals made by the local Boards of Health. Municipalities may provide additional funding beyond their obligated cost share. Any additional municipal funding is not included in the calculation of the public health figure.
F2	The estimated municipal benefit of the province's 50% share of land ambulance funding is relative to its share in 2005. This incremental increase in land ambulance funding delivers on the province's commitment to strengthen land ambulance services and maintain the 50:50 sharing of land ambulance costs.

Note: Provincial funding and other ongoing provincial support initiatives rounded to multiples of \$100.

Ontario Ministry of Finance
Provincial-Local Finance Division

Issued: January 2012